Short Circuit Evaluation of Java's Boolean Operators

	Meaning	Short circuit?
88	and	yes
æ	and	no
11	or	yes
I	or	no

Here's a table describing four of Java's boolean operators:

The && and || operators are *short circuit* operators. A *short circuit* operator is one that doesn't necessarily evaluate all of its operands. Take, for example, the operator &&. What happens when Java executes the following code?

```
if (0 == 1 && 2 + 2 == 4) {
    out.println("This line won't be printed.");
}
```

You might expect Java to ask itself if 0 equals 1, and then ask if 2 + 2 equals 4. But with Java's && operator, that's not what happens. Instead, Java does the following:

Evaluate 0 == 1, discovering that 0 == 1 is false.

Realize that the condition (0 == 1 && whatever) can't possibly be true, no matter what the whatever condition happens to be.

Return **false** (without bothering to check if **2** + **2** == **4**).

The condition (0 == 1 && whatever) has to be false, because 0 == 1 is false. (Remember, the && operator wants both conditions, on its left and right sides, to be true.)

So when Java finds the value on the left side of an **&&** operator to be **false**, then Java gives up and declares the entire expression to be **false**. That's called *short circuit* expression evaluation. The same kind of thing happens with the **||** operator (another short circuit operator) when the value on the operator's left side is **true**.

```
if (2 + 2 == 4 || 0 == 1) {
    out.println("This line will be printed.");
}
```

Here's how Java's | | operator behaves when it encounters this code:

```
Evaluate 2 + 2 == 4, discovering that 2 + 2 == 4 is true.
```

Realize that the condition (2 + 2 == 4 || *whatever*) must be true, no matter what the *whatever* condition happens to be.

Return **true** (without bothering to check if **0** == **1**).

The condition (2 + 2 == 4 || *whatever*) has to be true, because 2 + 2 == 4 is true. (Remember, the || operator wants either condition, on its left or right side or on both sides, to be true.)

So when Java finds the value on the left side of an **| |** operator to be **true**, then Java declares the entire expression to be **true**.

Java's & and | | operators use short circuit evaluation. Java's & and | operators also test for the "and" and "or" conditions, but these & and | operators don't do short circuit evaluation. In other words, when Java encounters the following code, Java checks to see if 0 == 1 is true and then, before giving its final answer, checks to see if 2 + 2 == 4 is true.

```
if (0 == 1 & 2 + 2 == 4) {
    out.println("This line won't be printed.");
}
```

Here's a program to illustrate each operator's behavior:

```
import static java.lang.System.out;
public class OperatorEvalDemo {
    public static void main(String args[]) {
        new OperatorEvalDemo();
    }
    OperatorEvalDemo() {
        if (0 == 1 \&\& 2 + 2 == 4) {
            out.println("(0 == 1 && 2 + 2 == 4) is true");
        } else {
            out.println("(0 == 1 && 2 + 2 == 4) is false");
        }
        out.println();
        if (2 + 2 == 4 || 0 == 1) {
            out.println("(2 + 2 == 4 || 0 == 1) is true");
        } else {
            out.println("(2 + 2 == 4 || 0 == 1) is false");
        }
        out.println();
        if (isFalse() && isTrue()) {
```

```
out.println("(isFalse() && isTrue()) is true");
    } else {
        out.println("(isFalse() && isTrue()) is false");
    }
    out.println();
    if (isFalse() & isTrue()) {
        out.println("(isFalse() & isTrue()) is true");
    } else {
        out.println("(isFalse() & isTrue()) is false");
    }
    out.println();
    if (isTrue() || isFalse()) {
        out.println("(isTrue() || isFalse()) is true");
    } else {
        out.println("(isTrue() || isFalse()) is false");
    }
    out.println();
    if (isTrue() | isFalse()) {
        out.println("(isTrue() | isFalse()) is true");
    } else {
        out.println("(isTrue() | isFalse()) is false");
    }
boolean isTrue() {
    out.println("Executing isTrue");
    return true;
boolean isFalse() {
    out.println("Executing isFalse");
    return false;
```

}

}

}

}

```
And here's the program's output:

(0 == 1 && 2 + 2 == 4) is false

(2 + 2 == 4 || 0 == 1) is true

Executing isFalse

(isFalse() && isTrue()) is false

Executing isFalse

Executing isTrue

(isFalse() & isTrue()) is false

Executing isTrue

(isTrue() || isFalse()) is true

Executing isFalse

(isTrue() | isFalse()) is true
```

Notice, for example, what happens with the **&&** operator. Java displays **Executing isFalse**. But then Java doesn't display **Executing isTrue** because the **&&** operator does short circuit evaluation. On the other hand, Java displays both **Executing isFalse** and **Executing isTrue** for the **&** operator, because the **&** operator doesn't do short circuit evaluation.

You may wonder why anyone would use one kind of operator instead of another. Consider the following code:

```
public class Oops {
    public static void main(String args[]) {
        Integer myInt;
        myInt = new Integer(42);
        if (myInt != null && myInt.intValue() == 42) {
            System.out.println("Comparing 42 to 42");
        }
        myInt = null;
        if (myInt != null & myInt.intValue() == 42) {
            System.out.println("Comparing null to 42");
        }
    }
}
```

Here's the code's output:

```
Comparing 42 to 42
Exception in thread "main" java.lang.NullPointerException
at SideEffectDemo.main(SideEffectDemo.java:12)
```

This code checks twice to see if myInt != null and myInt.intValue() == 42. The first time around, the code uses short circuit evaluation. This is good because in this example, short circuit evaluation prevents Java from checking myInt.intValue() == 42.

But the second time around, the code doesn't use short circuit evaluation. No matter what happens when Java evaluates, myInt != null, the & operator marches on and evaluates myInt.intValue() == 42.

But here's the rub: If **myInt** has the value **null**, then the test is **myInt.intValue()** == 42 destined to crash. This happens because you can't call a method (such as **intValue()**) on a **null** value. If you try, you get a **nullPointerException**. So in this example, the && operator's short circuit evaluation saves you from crashing your program.

Occasionally you find situations in which you don't want short circuit evaluation. Usually these situations involve an evaluation's *side effect*. A *side effect* is something extra that happens during the evaluation of an expression. For example, in the **OperatorEvalDemo** program, displaying the line **Executing isTrue** is a side effect of evaluating the **isTrue()** expression.

Maybe, instead of displaying **Executing** ... lines, your methods check and make fine adjustments to a heart monitor and a lung monitor.

```
if (checkAdjustHeart() & checkAdjustLung()) {
    System.out.println("Both monitors are OK");
}
```

You may want to force Java to call both methods, even if the first method returns a **false** ("not OK") result. The **&&** operator's short circuit evaluation doesn't always call both methods. So in this scenario, you use the **&** operator.

The Hotel Example in Java For Dummies

Consider the following code (from Java For Dummies, 4th Edition):

```
import static java.lang.System.out;
import java.util.Scanner;
import java.io.File;
import java.io.IOException;
```

```
import java.io.PrintStream;
public class FindVacancy {
    public static void main(String args[])
                                          throws IOException {
        Scanner kbdScanner = new Scanner(System.in);
        Scanner diskScanner =
            new Scanner(new File("GuestList.txt"));
        int guests[] = new int[10];
        int roomNum;
        for (roomNum = 0; roomNum < 10; roomNum++) {
            guests[roomNum] = diskScanner.nextInt();
        }
        roomNum = 0;
        while (roomNum < 10 && guests[roomNum] != 0) {</pre>
            roomNum++;
        }
        if (roomNum == 10) {
            out.println("Sorry, no v cancy");
        } else {
            out.print("How many people for room ");
            out.print(roomNum);
            out.print("? ");
            guests[roomNum] = kbdScanner.nextInt();
            PrintStream listOut =
                new PrintStream("GuestList.txt");
            for (roomNum = 0; roomNum < 10; roomNum++) {
                listOut.print(guests[roomNum]);
                listOut.print(" ");
            }
        }
    }
}
```

The guests array is declared as follows:

```
int guests[] = new int[10];
```

So there are elements named guests[0], guests[1], and so on up to (and including) guests[9]. There's no guests[10] element, so if Java tries to evaluate the expression

guests[10] != 0

then the program crashes with an **ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException**. Now look at the **while** statement in the **FindVacancy** code:

```
while (roomNum < 10 && guests[roomNum] != 0) {
    roomNum++;
}</pre>
```

What happens if the value of the **roomNum** variable is exactly 10? Then, because of the **&&** operator's short circuit evaluation, Java never evaluates the **guests[roomNum] != 0** expression. So the program doesn't crash.

But what if you reverse the tests in the **while** statement's condition?

```
while (guests[roomNum] != 0 && roomNum < 10) {
    roomNum++;
}</pre>
```

Then the program can crash. Java evaluates **boolean** conditions from left to right. (This happens with both the short circuit & and | operators and with the non-short circuit & and | operators.) So before checking to make sure that **roomNum** < 10, Java evaluates the leftmost expression, **guests[roomNum] != 0**. Then Java tries to interpret **guests[10]** and crashes (because there's no **guests[10]** element).

The bottom line is, you must check **roomNum** < 10 before you check **guests**[**roomNum**] != 0. To force Java to do the **roomNum** < 10 check first, you put **roomNum** < 10 on the left side of the **while** statement's condition. With **roomNum** < 10 on the left side of the **&&** operator, short circuit evaluation prevents Java from accidentally evaluating **guests**[**roomNum**] != 0 with **roomNum** equal to 10. Pretty slick, heh?